United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

)

Eastern District of North Carolina

	v.)	G N	5:15-CR-297-1D		
	DOMINIQUE ROBERT DOTSON)	Case No.	5.15-CR-291-1D		
	Defendant)				
	DETENTION ORDER P	PENDING T	RIAL		
	After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reformation the defendant be detained pending trial.	orm Act, 18 U	J.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts		
	Part I—Finding	s of Fact			
□ (1) T	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18	U.S.C. § 314	42(f)(1) and has previously been convicted		
of \Box a federal offense \Box a state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal					
	jurisdiction had existed - that is				
	☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 315 for which the prison term is 10 years or more.	6(a)(4)or an	offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)		
	☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence is de	eath or life in	prisonment.		
	☐ an offense for which a maximum prison term of to	en years or m	nore is prescribed in		
			.*		
	a felony committed after the defendant had been described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or co		•		
	☐ any felony that is not a crime of violence but invo	olves:			
	☐ a minor victim				
	☐ the possession or use of a firearm or destructi	ive device or	any other dangerous weapon		
	☐ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250				
□ (2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed w federal, state release or local offense.	hile the defe	ndant was on release pending trial for a		
□ (3)	A period of less than five years has elapsed since the	☐ date of	conviction ☐ the defendant's release		
	from prison for the offense described in finding (1).				
□ (4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable press of another person or the community. I further find the		•		
	Alternative Find	dings (A)			
□ (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant	has committe	ed an offense		
	☐ for which a maximum prison term of ten years or	more is pres	cribed in .		
	□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).				
	• •				

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

□ (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption e the defendant's appearance and the safety of the	established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure community.
	Alternative	e Findings (B)
1 (1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will no	ot appear.
Y (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will en	danger the safety of another person or the community.
		the Reasons for Detention
1	find that the testimony and information submitted	at the detention hearing establishes by
Bas be	imposed which would reasonably assure the defendant's	tion hearing, there is no condition or combination of conditions, that can s appearance and/or the safety of another person or the community. In the community or conditions, that can be imposed which would reasonably
	The apparent strength of the government's case	The lack of a suitable custodian
	The indication of substance abuse	The fact that the charges arose while on state probation
	The defendant's criminal history	The history of probation revocations
	Other:	
	Part III—Direction	s Regarding Detention
in a correpending order of	ections facility separate, to the extent practicable, appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasona	ttorney General or a designated representative for confinement from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody ble opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility a court appearance.
Date: O	October 26, 2015	Robert T Numbers II

Robert T. Numbers, II United States Magistrate Judge

Printed name and title

Judge's signature